

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 2779.2.2 D MONTAGUE 01/20/00 09/488,079 **EXAMINER** LM02/0706 021552 TESFAMARIAM, M MADSON & METCALF GATEWAY TOWER WEST PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SUITE 900 2764 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY UT 84101 DATE MAILED: 07/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/488,079

Mussie Tesfamariam

Applicant(s)

Fyaminer

Group Art Unit

David R. Montague

2764

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 20, 2000</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	s to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or trillonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for responsible application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is	s/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/are	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to restri	ction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
∑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disap	proved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	<i>、</i>
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Natice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	



Art Unit: 2764

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because of the reasons set forth on the PTO-948 form enclosed. Correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baron et al, 5809481. As per claim 1, Baron et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to a product; the product having a surface associated therewith; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47.



Art Unit: 2764

5. Claim 11-12, 14, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842.

As per claim 11, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51.

As per claim 12, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the first information is printed on the label. See col 2, lines 15-17.

As per claim 14 Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the first information is printed on the label. See col 2, lines 14-17, col 3, lines 19-22, col 15, lines 24-27.

As per claim 18, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to a product, the product having an exterior; the label configured to be attached to a tether having a first end and a second end; the first end configured to be coupled to the label; the second end configured to be coupled to the exterior of the product, such that the tether couples the label to the exterior of the product; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and a computer -readable coupled to the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51.



Art Unit: 2764

As per claim 19, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the first information is printed on the label. See col 2, lines 15-17.

As per claim 21, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the first information is printed on the label. See col 2, lines 14-17, col 3, lines 19-22, col 15, lines 24-27.

As per claim 23, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose the product defines an opening into an interior of the product and at least part of the label is positioned in the interior of the product. See col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51.

As per claim 24, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in configuring a label to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of a product and a source of the product; coupling a computer-readable medium to the label; and coupling the label to an exterior of the product. See col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51.

As per claim 25, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the product is packaged. See col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67.

As per claim 26, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the label to the exterior of the product by a flexible member. See col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24.



Art Unit: 2764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842.

 As per claim 2, Baron et al disclose in marketing database printed in a spreadsheet format.

 However, he fails specifically to disclose in the first information is printed on the label. Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the first information is printed on the label. See col 2, lines 14-17, col 3, lines 19-22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will print the information on the label. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have visible information on the label.

As per claim 4, Baron et al disclose in the formats of display advertisement. See col 6, lines 28-30. However, he fails specifically to disclose in the label is shaped to provide the first information through a shape. Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose in the label is shaped to provide the first information through a shape. See fig 1a, fig 6, col 3, lines 9-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of





Art Unit: 2764

Baron such that it will provide the first information through a shape. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have easily available information through the shaped label.

8. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Christensen et al, 5710886.

As per claim 5, Baron et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to a product; the product having a surface associated therewith; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47.

However, he fails to disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. Christensen et al disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. See fig 6, fig 11, fig 12, col 8, lines 66-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will contain information mentioned in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have vast gathering of information.

As per claim 6, Baron et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to a product; the product having a surface associated therewith; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col



Art Unit: 2764

4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47. However, he fails to disclose in at least one of a garment, footwear, headgear, a foodstuff, furniture, an appliance, sporting goods, dry goods, a tool, and a plant. Christensen et al disclose in at least one of a garment, footwear, headgear, a foodstuff, furniture, an appliance, sporting goods, dry goods, a tool, and a plant. See fig 6, fig 12, fig 13, fig 14, column 1, lines 13-20, col 8, lines 58-62. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will contain information mentioned in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have variety of product information.

As per claim 7, Baron et al disclose in the product to protect the label prior to purchase. See the abstract, col 6, lines 23-26.

As per claim 8, Baron et al disclose in a hang tag, substantially enclosing the computer-readable medium. See the abstract, col 4, lines 28-30, 51-54, col 9, lines 31-32.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 in view of Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Markman, 5794213.

As per claim 3, Baron et al disclose in a label configured to be affixed to a product; the product having a surface associated therewith; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col



Art Unit: 2764

4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a selection of color on the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 41-44, 56, col 3, lines 22-25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will select color on the label. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have visible information on the label.

10. Claim 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baron et al, 5809481 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tsai et al, 5825292.

As per claim 9, disclose in a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47. However, he fails specifically to disclose in at least one of a printed medium, an electromagnetic medium, an optical medium, and a firmware medium. Tsai et al disclose in at least one of a printed medium, an electromagnetic medium, an optical medium, and a firmware medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 39-49, 62-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will include at least one of the mentioned medium in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have different kinds of media.

As per claim 10, Baron et al disclose in a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 2, lines 18-10, col 4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47. However, he fails specifically to disclose



Art Unit: 2764

in at least one of the formats including compact disk, floppy disk, digital video disk, magnetic strip, bar code, symbolic code, and an embedded chip. Tsai et al disclose in at least one of the formats including compact disk, floppy disk, digital video disk, magnetic strip, bar code, symbolic code, and an embedded chip. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 19-14, 39-49, col 2, lines 1-1-10, 45-50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will include at least one of the mentioned medium in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have different kinds of media formatted in different ways.

11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Markman, 5794213.

As per claim 13, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a selection of color on the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 41-44, 56, col 3, lines 22-25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the



Art Unit: 2764

invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will select color on the label. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have visible information on the label.

- 12. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Markman, 5794213.

 As per claim 3, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51. However, he fails specifically to disclose in a selection of color on the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 41-44, 56, col 3, lines 22-25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will select color on the label. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have visible information on the label.
- 13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Christensen et al, 5710886.

 As per claim 15, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source



Art Unit: 2764

of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51. However, he fails to disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. Christensen et al disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. See fig 6, fig 11, fig 12, col 8, lines 66-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will contain information mentioned in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have vast gathering of information.

14. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Baron et al, 5809481.

As per claim 16, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51. However, he fails to disclose in a hang tag, substantially enclosing the computer-readable medium. Baron et al disclose in a hang tag, substantially enclosing the computer-readable medium. See the abstract, col 4, lines 28-30, 51-54, col 9, lines 31-32. Therefore, it would have been obvious ordinary skill in the art at the time the



Art Unit: 2764

15.

invention was made to modify the system of Dlugos, Sr. et al such that it will include a hanging tag. This is because it would improve Dlugos' system to have different kinds of tags.

- Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 5153842 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Tsai et al, 5825292. As per claim 9, disclose in a computer-readable medium coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, fig 1, fig 2, col 4, lines 22-30, col 5, lines 48-51, col 6, lines 23-27, col 7, lines 14-18, col 9, lines 35-47. However, he fails specifically to disclose in at least one of a printed medium, an electromagnetic medium, an optical medium, and a firmware medium. Tsai et al disclose in at least one of a printed medium, an electromagnetic medium, an optical medium, and a firmware medium. See the abstract, fig 1, col 1, lines 39-49, 62-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will include at least one of the mentioned medium in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have different kinds of media.
- Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dlugos, Sr. et al, 16. 5153842 as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Christensen et al, 5710886. As per claim 15, Dlugos, Sr. et al disclose a label configured to be affixed to packaging substantially enclosing a product, and the packaging having an exterior; the label configured to directly communicate first information corresponding to at least one of the product and a source of the product; and the packaging substantially the product; and a computer-readable medium

Art Unit: 2764

coupled to the product by the label. See the abstract, col 1, lines 1-10, 20-27, 60-67, col 2, lines 21-24, col 6, lines 34, col 7, lines 35, col 8, lines 43-51. However, he fails to disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. Christensen et al disclose in at least one of product facts, source facts, a test, a browser, a launcher, and a network identifier. See fig 6, fig 11, fig 12, col 8, lines 66-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Baron such that it will contain information mentioned in the above. This is because it would improve Baron's system to have vast gathering of information.

Art Unit: 2764

Page 14

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mussie Tesfamariam whose telephone number is (703)305-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)308-5357, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist).

Mussie Tesfamariam

June 30, 2000



Page 15

Application/Control Number: 09488079

Art Unit: 2764

M

Supplied Patent Examina
Tochniclegy Center 2700